





Formulating it as a a network flow problem	Proof of Claim
<ul> <li>Given an instance G = (L ∪ R, E) of maximum matching, create a directed graph with nodes L ∪ R ∪ {s, t}</li> <li>For each undirected edge (i, j) ∈ E, add a directed edge from i ∈ L to j ∈ R with capacity 1.</li> <li>Add an edge with capacity 1 from s to each of the nodes in L</li> <li>Add an edge with capacity 1 from each of the nodes in R to t.</li> <li>Claim: The size of the maximum matching in G equals the value of the maximum flow in G'</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Any matching in G has size at most the maximum flow in G':</li> <li>Can easily extend a matching in G of size k into a flow in G' of value k</li> <li>Any flow in G' has size at most the maximum matching in G</li> <li>Consider the maximum flow f in G'. We may assume f(e) is integral for each e.</li> <li>Consider set of edges from L to R that have f(e) = 1, this is a matching because each node in L and R has at most one unit of flow in or out respectively.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Second Application of Network Flows: Image Segmentation</li> <li>Using an expensive camera and appropriate lenses, you can get a "bokeh" effect on portrait photos in which the background is blurred and the foreground is in focus.</li> <li>Image: Second Application of Network Flows: Image Segmentation</li> <li>Image: Second Application of Network Flows: Image Segmentation</li> <li>Using an expensive camera and appropriate lenses, you can get a "bokeh" effect on portrait photos in which the background is blurred and the foreground is in focus.</li> <li>Image: Second Application of Network Flows: Image Segmentation</li> <li>Image: Second Application of Network Flows: Second Application</li> <li>Image: Second Application of Network Flows: Second Application</li> <li>Image: Second Application of Network Flows: Second Application</li> <li>Image: Second Application</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Formulating the problem</li> <li>Input:</li> <li>Let V be the set of pixels in the images and let E be pairs of neighboring pixels.</li> <li>For each pixel i, you have a likelihood f<sub>i</sub> ≥ 0 that it is in the foreground and a likelihood b<sub>i</sub> ≥ 0 that it is in the background.</li> <li>For each (i, j) ∈ E, let p<sub>ij</sub> be a penalty you pay for labeling one as foreground and one as background.</li> <li>Goal: You want to partition V into foreground pixels F and background pixels B such that you maximize score(F, B) = ∑<sub>i∈F</sub> f<sub>i</sub> + ∑<sub>j∈B</sub> b<sub>j</sub> - ∑<sub>(i,j)∈E:i∈F,j∈B</sub> p<sub>ij</sub></li> <li>Observation: Define score'(F, B) = ∑<sub>i∈V</sub> f<sub>i</sub> + ∑<sub>j∈V</sub> b<sub>j</sub> - score(F, B)</li> <li>Maximizing score(F, B) is same as minimizing score'(F, B)</li> </ul>
Turning the problem into a network flow problem• Define the directed graph G where• Pixels, V, are nodes of G• Between each pair of neighboring pixels i and j, add an edge in each direction with capacity $p_{ij}$ .• Add node s with an edge to each pixel j with capacity $f_i$ • Add node t with an edge from each pixel j with capacity $b_i$ • We can rewrite $score'(F, B)$ as: $score'(F, B) = \sum_{i \in V} f_i + \sum_{j \in V} b_j - score(F, B)$ $= \sum_{i \in B} f_i + \sum_{j \in F} b_j + \sum_{(i,j) \in E: i \in F, j \in B} p_{ij}$ $= c(F, B)$ • So finding minimum cut in G is equivalent to maximizing the image segmentation score.	