

## CMPSCI 311: Introduction to Algorithms

### Lecture 6: More Greedy Algorithms

Akshay Krishnamurthy and Andrew McGregor

University of Massachusetts

Last Compiled: September 22, 2016

## Problem 2: Interval Partitioning

- ▶ Suppose you are in charge of UMass classrooms.
- ▶ There are  $n$  classes to be scheduled on a Monday where class  $j$  starts at time  $s_j$  and finishes at time  $f_j$
- ▶ Your goal is to schedule *all* the classes such that the minimum number of classrooms get used throughout the day. Obviously two classes that overlap can't use the same room.

## Possible Greedy Approaches

- ▶ Suppose the available classrooms are numbered 1, 2, 3, ...
- ▶ We could run a greedy algorithm. . . consider the lectures in some natural order, and assign the lecture to the classroom with the smallest number that is available.
- ▶ What's a "natural order" for this problem?
  - ▶ *Start Time*: Consider lectures in ascending order of  $s_j$ .
  - ▶ *Finish Time*: Consider lectures in ascending order of  $f_j$ .
  - ▶ *Shortest Time*: Consider lectures in ascending order of  $f_j - s_j$ .
  - ▶ *Fewest Conflicts*: Let  $c_j$  be number of shows which overlap with show  $j$ . Consider shows in ascending order of  $c_j$ .
- ▶ Not all of these orderings will result in the best solution. But we'll show that ordering by start-time gives an optimal result.

## Ordering by Start Time is gives an optimal answer

- ▶ A key observation:
  - ▶ Define the *depth* of the set of lecture time to be the maximum number of lectures that are in progress at exactly the same time.
  - ▶ The number of class rooms needed by any schedule is  $\geq$  depth.
- ▶ If  $d$  is the number of classrooms used by the greedy algorithm that considers classes in order of start time. We'll show  $d \leq$  depth. Hence,  $d =$  depth and there can't be a better schedule.
- ▶ Suppose lecture  $j$  is the first lecture that the greedy algorithm assigns to classroom  $d$ .
- ▶ At time  $s_j$ , there must be at least  $d$  lectures that are occurring. Hence,  $d \leq$  depth.

## Problem 3: Scheduling to Minimize Lateness

- ▶ Suppose an overworked UMass student has  $n$  different assignments due on the same day and each assignment has a deadline. Suppose that assignment  $j$  will take the student  $t_j$  minutes and has deadline  $d_j$ .
- ▶ If a student starts the assignment at  $s_j$ , she finishes the assignment at  $f_j = s_j + t_j$  and let  $\ell_j = \max\{0, f_j - d_j\}$  be the number of minutes she is late.
- ▶ Problem: In what order should she do the assignments if she wants to minimize the maximum lateness  $L = \max_j \ell_j$ .

## Possible Greedy Approaches

- ▶ We could do the assignments in order of:
  - ▶ *Shortest Time*: Consider lectures in ascending order of  $t_j$ .
  - ▶ *Earliest Deadline*: Consider lectures in ascending order of  $d_j$ .
  - ▶ *Smallest Slack*: Consider lectures in ascending order of  $d_j - t_j$ .
- ▶ Not all of these orderings will result in the best solution. But we'll show that ordering by earliest deadline gives an optimal result.

## Ordering by earliest deadline minimizes lateness: Part 1

- ▶ To simplify the notation assume  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \leq \dots$
- ▶ Given a schedule  $S$ , we say there's an *inversion* for jobs  $i$  and  $j$  if  $d_i < d_j$  for job  $j$  is scheduled before  $i$ . The schedule generated by the greedy algorithm is the unique schedule in which there are no inversions.
- ▶ Some important observations:
  - ▶ There exists an optimal schedule with no idle time.
  - ▶ If there are any inversions in a schedule, there is an inversion involving two jobs that are scheduled consecutively.

## Ordering by earliest deadline minimizes lateness: Part 2

- ▶ Claim: Given a schedule, swapping two adjacent, inverted jobs  $i$  and  $j$  (where  $i < j$ ) reduces the number of inversions by one and does not increase the maximum lateness.
- ▶ Let  $\ell_k$  be the lateness of job  $k$  before the swap and let  $\ell'_k$  be the lateness afterwards.
- ▶ Note that  $\ell'_k = \ell_k$  for all  $k$  other than  $k \neq i$  and  $k \neq j$ .
- ▶ Since  $i$  is finished earlier after the swap,  $\ell'_i \leq \ell_i$
- ▶ If job  $j$  is now late,

$$\ell'_j = f'_j - d_j = f_i - d_j \leq f_i - d_i \leq \max\{0, f_i - d_i\} = \ell_i$$

- ▶ Hence  $\max\{\ell'_i, \ell'_j\} \leq \max\{\ell_i, \ell_j\}$
- ▶ Lemma: Ordering by the earliest deadline minimizes lateness.
- ▶ Suppose there's a different schedule with inversions that has lateness  $L$ .
- ▶ We can use repeatedly use the above claim to transform it into a schedule with no inversions that has lateness at most  $L$ .