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## Greedy Algorithms Overview
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- Minimum Spanning Tree and Kruskal's algorithm
- Matroids and Subset Systems
- Bipartite Matching and Intersections of Matroids
- Union-Find Data Structure


## Minimum Spanning Tree and Kruskal's Algorithm

Problem: Given an undirected, connected graph ( $V, E$ ) with edge weights find the min-weight subset $E^{\prime} \subset E$ such that the graph $\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ is acyclic and connected, i.e., a min-weight spanning tree (MST).

Throughout this class we'll assume all edge weights are distinct although everything generalizes to when some weights are the same.
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The algorithm produces a tree because a) it never completes a cycle so end result is acyclic and b) it is connected since for any cut, algorithm adds at least the first edge it encounters across this cut.
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## Running Time:

- Sorting: $O(|E| \log |E|)$
- Checking if acyclic: $|E|$ checks and each is $O(1)$ time.
- Adding e to $F$ : Updating array takes $O(|V|)$ time and array is updated exactly $|V|-1$ times.
- Total running time $O\left(|E| \log |E|+|V|^{2}\right)$

Will make this $O(|E| \log |E|)$ later via the union-find data structure
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Cut Lemma: Let $S \subset V$ and let $e=(u, v)$ be the lightest edge such that $u \in S$ and $v \notin S$. The MST contains edge $e$.

## Proof:

- Suppose there exists a minimum spanning tree $T$ that doesn't include $e$. We'll construct a different spanning tree $T^{\prime}$ such that $w\left(T^{\prime}\right)<w(T)$ and hence $T$ can't be the MST.
- Since $T$ is a spanning tree, there's a $u \rightsquigarrow v$ path $P$ in $T$. Since the path starts in $S$ and ends up outside $S$, there must be an edge $e^{\prime}=\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$ on this path where $u^{\prime} \in S, v^{\prime} \notin S$.
- Let $T^{\prime}=T-\left\{e^{\prime}\right\}+\{e\}$. This is still spanning tree, since any path in $T$ that needed $e^{\prime}$ can be routed via e instead. But since $e$ was the lightest edge between $S$ and $V \backslash S$,

$$
w\left(T^{\prime}\right)=w(T)-w\left(e^{\prime}\right)+w(e)<w(T)-w\left(e^{\prime}\right)+w\left(e^{\prime}\right)=w(T)
$$
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## Proof of Correctness:

- Suppose $e=(u, v)$ is the next edge added.
- Let $S$ be the set of nodes that can be reached from $u$ before $e$ was added. Note that $v \notin S$ since otherwise adding $e$ would have completed a cycle.
- No other edge between $S$ and $V \backslash S$ has been encountered before since if it had it would have been added since it doesn't complete a cycle. Hence $e$ is the lightest edge between $S$ and $V \backslash S$. Therefore, the cut lemma implies e must be in the MST.
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- Sort the edges by increasing weight.
- Let $S=\{s\}$.
- While $S \neq V$ : Add next edge $(u, v)$ where $u \in S, v \notin S$ and add $v$ to $S$.


## Proof of Correctness:

- Let $S$ be the set of nodes in the tree constructed so far.
- The next edge added to the tree is the lightest edge between $S$ and $V \backslash S$. Hence, the cut lemma implies $e$ must be in the MST.

