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## Outline

Summary of Matroid Results

## The Problem

## Definition

A subset system $S=(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a finite set $E$ with a collection $\mathcal{I}$ of subsets of $E$ such that if $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subset A$ then $B \in \mathcal{I}$.

Problem Given a subset system $S=(E, \mathcal{I})$ and weight function $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, find $A \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $w(A)=\sum_{e \in A} w(e)$ is maximized.

Algorithm (Greedy)

1. $A=\emptyset$
2. Sort elements of $E$ by non-increasing weight
3. For each $e \in E$ : If $A+e \in \mathcal{I}$ then $A \leftarrow A+e$

## Matroid Definition and Theorem

Definition
Subset system $(E, \mathcal{I})$ has the exchange property if

$$
\forall A, B \in \mathcal{I}:(|A|<|B|) \Longrightarrow(\exists e \in B-A \text { such that } A+e \in \mathcal{I})
$$

## Definition

A subset system $(E, \mathcal{I})$ has the cardinality property if

$$
\forall E^{\prime} \subseteq E:\left(A, B \in \mathcal{I} \text { maximal subsets of } E^{\prime}\right) \Longrightarrow(|A|=|B|)
$$

where we say $A \in \mathcal{I}$ is a maximal subset of $E^{\prime}$ if $A \subseteq E^{\prime}$ and there doesn't exist $e \in E^{\prime}$ such that $A+e \in \mathcal{I}$.
Theorem
Given a subset system $(E, \mathcal{I})$, the following statements are equivalent:

1. Greedy algorithm returns optimal solution for any weight function.
2. The subset system obeys the exchange property.
3. The subset system obeys the cardinality property.

## Exchange Property implies Cardinality Property

- Suppose $A, B$ are maximal subsets of $E^{\prime} \subseteq E$. Need to show

$$
|A|=|B|
$$

- If $|B|>|A|$, the exchange property implies

$$
\exists e \in B-A \text { such that } A+e \in \mathcal{I}
$$

- Note that $A+e$ would still be in $E^{\prime}$ since $e \in B \subseteq E^{\prime}$.
- Thus $A$ was not maximal in $E^{\prime}$. Contradiction!


## Cardinality Property implies Exchange Property

- Suffices to show that $(E, \mathcal{I})$ not a matroid implies there exists $E^{\prime}$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $|A|<|B|$ and $A, B$ are maximal in $E^{\prime}$
- $(E, \mathcal{I})$ not a matroid implies that
$\exists A, C \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $|A|<|C|$ and $\nexists e \in C-A$ with $A+e \in \mathcal{I}$
- Define $E^{\prime}=A \cup C$ and note that $A$ is maximal in $E^{\prime}$.
- There exists $B \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $C \subseteq B$ and $B$ is maximal in $E^{\prime}$.
- But $|B| \geq|C|>|A|$ as required.


## Example 1

Theorem
The Maximum Weight Forest (MWF) subset system is a matroid.
Proof.

- Pick an arbitrary subset of edges $E^{\prime} \subseteq E$.
- Let $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}$ be the number of nodes in the connected components.
- Any maximal acyclic subset of $E^{\prime}$ has size

$$
\left(n_{1}-1\right)+\left(n_{2}-1\right)+\ldots+\left(n_{k}-1\right)=n-k
$$

because a maximal acyclic subgraph of a connected graph on $n_{i}$ nodes is a tree and has $n_{i}-1$ edges.

- Cardinality Theorem implies that it's a matroid.


## Example 2

Theorem
Let $E$ be a set of directed edges and $\mathcal{I}$ be subsets such that no two edges in the same subset point to same node. This is a matroid.

## Proof.

- For any $E^{\prime} \subseteq E$, the number of edges in a maximal subset of $E^{\prime}$ is equal to the number of vertices pointed to in $E^{\prime}$.
- Cardinality Theorem implies that it's a matroid.

