CMPSCI 377 Midterm (Sample)

You will have 90 minutes to work on this exam, which is closed book. There are 4 problems on 9 pages.

Please write your name on EVERY page.

You are to abide by the University of Massachusetts Academic Honesty Policy.

Name:_____

Problem 1	out of 25
Problem 2	out of 25
Problem 3	out of 25
Problem 4	out of 25
Total	out of 100

1. Analyzing a Concurrent Program (approx. 20 minutes)

The following is an implementation of an atomic transfer function. transfer should atomically dequeue an item from one queue and enqueue it on another. By atomically, we mean that there must be no interval of time during which an external thread can determine that an item has been removed from one queue but not yet placed on another (assuming the external thread locks a queue before examining it). transfer must complete in a finite amount of time, and must allow multiple transfers between unrelated queues to happen in parallel. You may assume the following:

- queue1 and queue2 never refer to the same queue.
- queue1 always has an item to dequeue (so dequeue (queue1) succeeds).
- dequeue, enqueue, thread_lock, and thread_unlock are all written correctly.
- thread lock is fair. That is, lock requests are granted in the order of the calls.

State whether the implementation (i) works, (ii) doesn't work, or (iii) sometimes works and sometimes doesn't work.

- If you claim it works, present your reasoning about how you came to this conclusion.
- If you claim it doesn't work (or only works sometimes), describe the circumstances that cause it not to work, **and** re-write transfer so it always works (and still meets all the requirements).

```
struct queue {
    int lockNum; /* a unique lock number per queue */
    item *headPtr; /* pointer to the head of the queue */
};
void transfer(struct queue *queue1, struct queue *queue2) {
    item *thing; /* the item being transferred */
    thread_lock(queue1->lockNum);
    thread_lock(queue2->lockNum);
    thing = dequeue(queue1);
    enqueue(queue2, thing);
    thread_unlock(queue1->lockNum);
}
```

Name _____

Name _

2. Writing a Concurrent Program (approx. 20 minutes)

You have joined a software company that is writing an implementation of the Banker's algorithm for the UMass Credit Union. They have defined the global variables below. They have also written the function isDangerous (int customer, int amount), which returns 1 if letting the specified customer borrow the specified amount may allow deadlock (otherwise isDangerous returns 0). isDangerous does not modify any global variables.

Recall the general structure of a thread that uses the Banker's algorithm:

```
declareCreditLimit /* sets creditLimit[customer] */
while (not done) {
    getCash(customer, amount)/* borrow money against credit limit */
    do work
}
```

```
returnAllCash(customer)
```

Your job is to implement the getCash and returnAllCash functions. Assume a customer never calls getCash with an amount that would cause him/her to exceed his credit limit. Use monitors (thread_lock, thread_unlock, threadWait, threadSignal, and threadBroadcast) to handle synchronization. Keep your solution as simple as possible.

getCash(int customer, int amount)
{

} returnAllCash(int customer) {

}

3. Modified Monitors (approx. 20 minutes)

Standard condition variables have no memory of past signals. That is, threadSignal has no effect on threads that call threadWait in the future.

Your task is to implement a modified condition variable that remembers past signals. A signal should be delivered to a waiting thread if there are any threads waiting on this condition variable; otherwise, a signal should be saved and delivered to a thread that later waits on this condition variable.

Each signal should be delivered to exactly one thread. Assume there is only 1 lock and 1 condition variable.

Write pseudo-code for thread_wait_new and thread_signal_new. Here are library functions you may use:

- Use the "test&set" instruction (**not** interrupt_disable) to ensure atomicity in your thread library code. You do not need to worry about interrupts occurring.
- Use enqueue and dequeue to manipulate thread queues. enqueue adds a thread onto the tail of a queue. dequeue returns the thread at the head of a queue and deletes that thread from the queue. State clearly which queue and thread is being manipulated.
- Use swapcontext to switch to a new thread. State clearly which thread you are switching to.
- Use thread_lock_internal and thread_unlock_internal to acquire and release the lock. These are internal versions of thread_lock and thread_unlock that assume atomicity is ensured at the time they are called.

State any assumptions you make about how other functions (particularly those that call swapcontext) use test&set.

Name _____

4. Test case design (approx. 20 minutes)

Consider the following pseudo-code of thread_lock() and thread_unlock(), which allows a lock to be acquired in non-FIFO order (i.e. not in the order of calls to thread_lock()).

```
thread_lock(int lockid)
{
    while (lock[lockid] == BUSY) {
        add self to this lock's queue;
        switchToNextReadyThread(); // current thread goes to sleep
    }
    lock[lockid] = BUSY;
}
thread_unlock(int lockid)
{
    lock[lockid] = FREE;
    if (lock's queue is not empty) {
        remove first thread off this lock's queue,
        and put that thread on the ready queue;
    }
}
```

Your task is to design a test case that will illustrate that the locks are not always acquired in FIFO order by the above design. The next page has a partially complete test case using three threads T1, T2, and T3. Assume that initially T1, T2, and T3 are added to the ready queue so that T1 will run first, followed by T2, and then T3. The thread library is non-preemptive.

Your task is to modify the code for one or more threads below by inserting **at most three** thread_yield() operations so that threads request locks in the order T1, T2, T3 but end up acquiring locks in the non-FIFO order of T1, T3, T2. No other code besides thread_yield() should be inserted.

```
// Function called by thread T1 \,
void T1_testLocks()
{
      thread_lock(1);
      ... print out the lock owner ...;
      thread_unlock(1);
}
// Function called by thread T2 \,
void T2_testLocks()
{
      thread lock(1);
      ... print out the lock owner ...;
      thread_unlock(1);
}
// Function called by thread T3
void T3_testLocks()
{
      thread_lock(1);
      ... print out the lock owner ...;
      thread unlock(1);
```

}